

[Start of page May 11, 2006, 10:20 PM EST]

sent non-paradox-causing information into the past to constrain the wave function at the moment a correlated particle-pair is first created. That might explain some of the stronger-than-expected reactions to it, since I was apparently bringing up a perspective more uniquely my own than I had anticipated

10:20 PM May 11, 2006, 10:34 PM EST

The next note is very short and seems to capture something akin to the "Boltzmann fabric" idea Coops, that's in a note from a day or so ago - it's not in here yet

great month (hex)  
day  
hour  
minutes x 10  
minutes

The six-char time 4c6410 means December 6, 2004, at 4:10 AM EST. That is a Monday<sup>TB</sup> day morning, so I suspect it is not a typo on the hour - more likely I was working very late for some kind of Monday<sup>TB</sup> day morning MITRE deadline

[End of page May 11, 2006, 10:32 PM EST]



11

[Start of page May 11, 2006, 10:20 PM EST]

sent non-paradox-causing information into the past to constrain the wave function at the moment a correlated particle-pair is first created. That might explain some of the stronger-than-expected reactions to it, since I was apparently bringing up a perspective more uniquely my own than I had anticipated

The next note is very short and seems to capture something akin to the "Boltzmann fabric" idea (oops, that's in a note from a day or so ago – it's not in here yet

The six-char time 4 – year  
c – month (hex)  
6 – day  
4 – hour  
1 – minutes x10  
0 – minutes means

December 6, 2004, at 4:10 AM EST.  
That is a Monday morning, so I suspect it is not a typo on the hour – more likely I was working very late for some kind of Monday morning MITRE deadline

[End of page May 11, 2006, 10:32 PM EST]

Terry Bollinger May 11, 2006, 10:34 PM EST

